

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 and 6-9 remain in the application with claims 1, 6, and 8 having been amended hereby and claims 4 and 5 having been cancelled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1-4, 8, and 9 under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over Kempisty.

In paragraph 4. of the instant official action, claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been amended hereby to include claims 4 and 5 in order to recite the provision of the wire pattern layers and the insulating layer sandwiched between the first wire pattern layer and the second wire pattern layer as recited in claims 4 and 5.

Similarly, claim 8 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 5 along with claim 4 through which it depended originally.

Therefore, by reason of the inclusion of the allowable subject matter of claim 5 in claims 1 and 8, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are patentably distinct over Kempisty.

Accordingly, by reason of the amendments made to the claims hereby to include the allowable subject matter, it is respectfully submitted that all claims remaining in this

application are patentably distinct over the cited reference.

The references cited as of interest have been reviewed and are not seen to show or suggestion the present invention as recited in the amended claims.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jay H. Maioli".

Jay H. Maioli
Reg. No. 27, 213

JHM:gdl